

# In the United States Court of Federal Claims

## OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS

No. 20-2000V

(not to be published)

ZSUZSANNA KIMBALL,

Petitioner,

v.

SECRETARY OF HEALTH AND  
HUMAN SERVICES,

Respondent.

Chief Special Master Corcoran

Filed: May 16, 2023

Special Processing Unit (SPU);  
Attorney's Fees and Costs

*Ronald Craig Homer, Conway, Homer, P.C., Boston, MA, for Petitioner.*

*Naseem Kourosh, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC, for Respondent.*

### **DECISION ON ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COSTS<sup>1</sup>**

On December 28, 2020, Zsuzsanna Kimball filed a petition for compensation under the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program, 42 U.S.C. §300aa-10, *et seq.*<sup>2</sup> (the "Vaccine Act"). Petitioner alleged that she suffered a left shoulder injury related to vaccine administration as a result of an influenza vaccine she received on October 11, 2019. Petition at 1. On January 6, 2023, I issued a decision awarding compensation to Petitioner for her SIRVA injury, based on the Respondent's proffer. ECF No. 41.

Petitioner has now filed a motion for attorney's fees and costs, requesting an award of \$27,176.83 (representing \$26,171.70 for fees and \$1,005.13 for costs). Petitioner's Application for Attorneys' Fees and Costs ("Motion"), filed Apr. 3, 2023, ECF No. 47. In

---

<sup>1</sup> In accordance with Vaccine Rule 18(b), Petitioner has 14 days to identify and move to redact medical or other information, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of privacy. If, upon review, I agree that the identified material fits within this definition, I will redact such material from public access.

<sup>2</sup> National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986, Pub. L. No. 99-660, 100 Stat. 3755. Hereinafter, for ease of citation, all section references to the Vaccine Act will be to the pertinent subparagraph of 42 U.S.C. § 300aa (2018).

accordance with General Order No. 9, Petitioner filed a signed statement indicating that she incurred no out-of-pocket expenses. ECF No. 48.

Respondent reacted to the motion on April 3, 2023, indicating that he is satisfied that the statutory requirements for an award of attorney's fees and costs are met in this case, but deferring resolution of the amount to be awarded to my discretion. Respondent's Response to Motion at 2-3, 3 n.2, ECF No. 49. Petitioner did not file a reply thereafter.

The rates requested for work performed through the end of 2022 are reasonable and consistent with our prior determinations, and will therefore be adopted. Petitioner has also requested 2023 attorney hourly rates as follows: \$500 for work performed by Ronald Homer - representing a rate increase of \$25; \$455 for work performed by Meredith Daniels - representing a rate increase of \$45; and \$425 for work performed by Lauren Faga - representing a rate increase of \$40. Motion at 22-24, 40-42. Additionally, Petitioner requests an hourly rate of \$185 for paralegal work performed in 2023. *Id.* at 22-24, 42. I find these hourly rates to be reasonable, and will award the attorney's fees requested. (And all time billed to the matter was also reasonably incurred).

Furthermore, Petitioner has provided supporting documentation for all claimed costs, except for \$18.73 paid for postage and \$22.50 for 225 pages of in-house copying costs. I will, however, allow these unsubstantiated costs. Attachment to Motion at 23-38. And Respondent offered no specific objection to the rates or amounts sought.

The Vaccine Act permits an award of reasonable attorney's fees and costs for successful claimants. Section 15(e). I award a total of **\$27,176.83** (representing \$26,171.70 in fees and \$1,005.13 in costs) as a lump sum in the form of a check jointly payable to Petitioner and Petitioner's counsel, Ronald Craig Homer.

In the absence of a timely-filed motion for review (see Appendix B to the Rules of the Court), the Clerk of Court shall enter judgment in accordance with this Decision.<sup>3</sup>

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

**s/Brian H. Corcoran**  
Brian H. Corcoran  
Chief Special Master

---

<sup>3</sup> Pursuant to Vaccine Rule 11(a), the parties may expedite entry of judgment by filing a joint notice renouncing their right to seek review.